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## EDITORIAL

The $2^{\text {nd }}$ issue of The Macedonian Problemist An article on Aleksandr V. Galitsky reminds (TMP) for 2023 is published in December 2023 us about the problems of this famous Russian owing to an unfortunate plethora of events and chess composer ( $\mathrm{p} .31-33$ ).
circumstances in the private and professional The book review covers the book Endgame life of the magazine's editor, who wishes to Labyrinths by two Danish experts, one of whom apologize to the contributors and readers, and is the world champion in composing studies conveys the hope that such delays will not occur for the 2019-2021 period (and a judge of the in future. In spite of the failure to maintain 2022 informal tourney of TMP for studies), the intended publication date (August 2023, and the other author is a distinguished trainer given that this issue (№ 71) covers the second and an author of many chess books. This book 4-month period of 2023), we have done our (reviewed on pages 33-34) includes more than best to maintain the quality of the magazine 1,000 practical endgame studies with gamewhose moto is "the best of Macedonia!".

This issue includes the awards of the 2022 informal tourneys in the \#2, \#3 and studies sections (pp. 5-15), as well as 60 original chess compositions (pp. 16-29) and versions of two fairy chess problems from the earlier issues (p. 30). After a long delay we publish the awards of Georgi Hadži-Vaskov - 70 and Aleksandar Popovski - 90 in a special issue 71-a of TMP 2023.

In this issue we publish information on recent events of FIDE and/or WFCC: the European Chess Solving Championship (p. 3), the FIDE Solving Cup 2022-2023 (p. 4), the $11^{\text {th }}$ FIDE World Cup in Composing 2023 (p. 30) and the $2^{\text {nd }}$ instalment of the FIDE Veterans Support ment of the FIDE Veterans Support tourney The Macedorian Problemist Les Program for 2023, of which the Macedonian 2023, while the awards of Zoran Gavrilovski GM Živko Janevski recently benefited (p. 15). -55 JT 2023 will follow afterwards.

Other regular columns in this issue are as follows: "Selected awards" featuring problems by Macedonian problemists (pp. 35-38); and "Let's not forget ... composers who with their work have left (or are leaving) their mark on one or more genres" (pp. 39-40).
At the end of the present issue, we state that the next issue (№ 72) of the magazine for the last four-month period of 2023 will appear at the end of January or in February 2024, so we invite contributors to support this 2023 issue with chess compositions until a new deadline -25.01 .2024 . On the last day of 2023 we will publish the award of the formal

THE $16{ }^{\text {TH }}$ EUROPEAN CHESS SOLVING CHAMPIONSHIP 2023, BRATISLAVA (SVK), 3-4.6.2023
Final team results

| Rank | Name of the country | Round 1 |  | Round 2 |  | Round 3 |  | Round 4 |  | Round 5 |  | Round 6 |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pts | $\Theta$ | Pts | $\bigcirc$ | Pts | $\bigcirc$ | Pts | \% | Pts | $\bigcirc$ | Pts | $\bigcirc$ | Pts | $\Theta$ |
|  |  | 45 | 60 | 45 | 180 | 45 | 300 | 45 | 150 | 45 | 240 | 45 | 150 | 270 | 1080 |
| 1. | Poland | 45 | 54 | 45 | 127 | 40 | 228 | 45 | 98 | 45 | 188 | 40 | 124 | 260 | 819 |
| 2. | Lithuania | 45 | 56 | 45 | 152 | 32 | 269 | 40.5 | 146 | 33.5 | 240 | 41 | 125 | 237 | 988 |
| 3. | Slovakia 1 | 45 | 56 | 43.75 | 164 | 30 | 300 | 44 | 128 | 27.5 | 240 | 37.5 | 147 | 227.75 | 1035 |
| 4. | Serbia | 40 | 40 | 45 | 108 | 25 | 231 | 43 | 85 | 27.5 | 240 | 41 | 127 | 221.5 | 831 |
| 5. | Germany | 40 | 51 | 45 | 167 | 21 | 300 | 42 | 122 | 30.5 | 234 | 42.5 | 120 | 221 | 994 |
| 6. | Great Britain | 45 | 50 | 45 | 143 | 35 | 236 | 41.5 | 134 | 28 | 240 | 21 | 150 | 215.5 | 953 |
| 7. | Israel | 45 | 55 | 38.75 | 178 | 20 | 300 | 32 | 137 | 18 | 240 | 32.5 | 150 | 186.25 | 1060 |
| 8. | Netherlands | 40 | 54 | 40 | 169 | 16 | 276 | 39.5 | 144 | 16.5 | 240 | 30 | 150 | 182 | 1033 |
| 9. | Ukraine | 40 | 57 | 43.75 | 164 | 18 | 288 | 33.5 | 145 | 12.5 | 240 | 27.5 | 150 | 175.25 | 1044 |
| 10. | Finland | 35 | 53 | 35 | 175 | 20 | 300 | 38.5 | 140 | 16.5 | 240 | 27.5 | 150 | 172.5 | 1058 |
| 11. | Romania | 35 | 55 | 32.5 | 180 | 23 | 286 | 35 | 149 | 16 | 240 | 26 | 147 | 167.5 | 1057 |
| 12. | Chech Repub. | 35 | 60 | 35 | 180 | 17 | 300 | 35.5 | 150 | 8.5 | 240 | 27 | 150 | 158 | 1080 |
| 13. | Greece | 30 | 60 | 30 | 157 | 11 | 300 | 33 | 134 | 6.5 | 240 | 35 | 140 | 145.5 | 1031 |
| 14. | Slovakia 2 | 35 | 55 | 22.5 | 177 | 2 | 297 | 23.5 | 150 | 8.5 | 239 | 14.5 | 150 | 106 | 1068 |

Individual results: 1. Kacper Piorun (POL) - 87.5; 2. Piotr Murdzia (POL) - 86; 3. Eddy van Beers (BEL) - 85; 4. Ulrich Voigt (GER) - 81.5; 5. Vidmantas Satkus (LTU) - 81; 6. Kevinas Kuznecovas (LTU) - 79.5; 7. Marko Filipović (CRO) - 78.5; 8. Tomáš Peitl (SVK) - 76.5; 9. Piotr Górski (POL) -76; 10. Nikos Sidiropoulos (GRE) - 74.5.

Open solving tournament: 1. Piotr Murdzia - 41; 2. Jonathan Mestel-36; 3. Eddy van Beers - 31 .

$$
\text { ECSC COMPOSING TOURNEY } 2023
$$


$\mathrm{H} \# 2$ b) $-\boldsymbol{\xi} \mathrm{a} 2$
$5+11$ c) $=$ b) \& - 受b3
a) $1 . \mathbf{\Phi} \mathrm{b} 4 \mathrm{a} 32$. $\mathrm{a} 5 \mathrm{a} 4 \#$


Removal of white piece that made tempo move in W1 of the previous solution. (Judge)




Elimination of white pieces.
The strategic content of this $\mathrm{h} \# 2$ is very well known. (Judge)
$3^{\text {rd }} \mathbf{C}$. Zoran Gavrilovski

c) $=$ b) $\&-\{\mathrm{e} 7$, d) $=$ c) $\&-\xi \mathrm{f} 7$



 Multiple white promotions, combined with ${ }^{d}$-star. (Judge)

## SOLVING NEWS：FIDE SOLVING Cup 2022－2023

37 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Ukrainian Chess Solving Championship 2023，Poltava，29．04．－30．04．2023 Category 12 （ 28 solvers，average rating of top－10 solvers：2，093．49）． Standings：1．Vladimir Pogorelov－ 6 points；Valery Kopyl－4；3．Oleksiy Solovchuk－ 2.
44 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Open Lithuanian Chess Problems Solving Championship 2023，Kédainiai，06．－07．05．2023 Category 11 （ 11 solvers，average rating of top－10 solvers：2，112．64）． Standings：1．Martynas Limontas－ 8 points；2．Kevinas Kuznecovas－6，Vidmantas Satkus－ 4
$31^{\text {st }}$ International Chess Solving Championship of Slovakia 2023，Bratislava，13－14．05．2023 Category 9 （ 22 solvers，average rating of top－10 solvers：2，238．57）． Standings：1．Kevinas Kuznecovas－ 13 points；2．Tomás Peitl－10；3．Marek Kolčák－ 8.
Winton British Chess Solving Championship 2023，Nottingham，20．05．2023 Category 8 （ 18 solvers，average rating of top－10 solvers： $2,260.54$ ）． Standings：1．Kevinas Kuznecovas－ 16 points；David Hodge－13；3．Jonathan Mestel－ 10.
French Chess Problems Solving Championship 2023，Gennevilliers（Paris）27．05．2023 Category 10 （ 18 solvers，average rating of top－10 solvers：2，183．13）． Standings：1．Kevinas Kuznecovas－ 10 points；Andrey Selivanov－8；3．Abdelaziz Onkoud－6．
Open solving tournament at the $\mathbf{1 6}^{\text {th }}$ ECSC 2023，Bratislava，02．06．2023 Category 2 （ 71 solvers，average rating of top－10 solvers： $2,557.55$ ）． Standings：1．Piotr Murdzia－41 points；2．Jonathan Mestel－36；3．Eddy van Beers－ 31
45 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ International German Chess Solving Championship 2023，Gera，17．－18．06．2023 Category 6 （ 21 solvers，average rating of top－10 solvers：2，385．61）． Standings：1．Ulrich Voight－ 23 points；2．Boris Tummes－19；3．Arno Zude－ 16.
$42^{\text {nd }}$ Azerbaijan Chess Solving Championship 2023，Sumgait，24．－25．06．2023 Category 14 （ 33 solvers，average rating of top－10 solvers： $1,951.16$ ）． Standings：1．Araz Almammadov－ 2 points；2．Iaroslav Tarasenko－ 1 ．
$3^{\text {th }}$ Israeli（ ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Open）Solving Championship 2023，Ra＇anana（Tel Aviv），25．06．2023 Category 8 （ 17 solvers，average rating of top－10 solvers： $2,261.58$ ）． Standings：1．Danila Pavlov－16 points；2．Ofer Comay－13；3．Igor Yarmonov－ 10.
31 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ International Czech Solving Championship 2023，Prague，26．－27．09．2023 Category 11 （19 solvers，average rating of top－10 solvers：2，106．90）． Standings：1．Marek Kolčák－ 8 points；2．Richard Dobiáš－6；3．Miloslav Vanka－4．
Open Solving Tournament at the $\mathbf{4 6}^{\text {th }}$ WCCT 2023，Batumi（Georgia），04．09．2023 Category 1 （ 77 solvers，average rating of top－10 solvers： $2,609.08$ ）． Standings：1．Ural Khasanov－46 points；2．Eddy Van Beers－41；3．Danila Pavlov－36

| Pl． | Name | Coun－ <br> try | Pts． | Perf．rat． <br> $(<=6)$ | Pl． | Name | Coun－ <br> try | Pts．Perf．rat． <br> $(<=6)$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1． | Eddy van Beers | BEL | 91 | 2703.45 |  | 11. | David Hodge | GBR | 44 | 2546.78 |
| 2． | Kevinas Kuznecovas | LTU | 90 | 2536.41 |  | 12． | Piotr Górski | POL | 36 | 2540.93 |
| 3． | Piotr Murdzia | POL | 87 | 2694.06 | 13． | Miodrag Mladenović | SRB | 35 | 2437.00 |  |
| 4． | Danila Pavlov | FID | 83 | 2789.08 |  | 14． | Richard Dobiás | SVK | 35 | 2308.38 |
| 5． | Kacper Piorun | POL | 71 | 2674.16 |  | 15． | Arno Zude | GER | 32 | 2518.15 |
| 6． | Martynas Limontas | LTU | 71 | 2529.04 | 16． | Marek Kolčák | SVK | 32 | 2362.20 |  |
| 7． | Vidmantas Satkus | LTU | 49 | 2426.00 | 17． | Marko Filipović | CRO | 28 | 2581.37 |  |
| 8． | Nikos Sidiropoulos | GRE | 47 | 2631.05 | 18． | Aleksey Popov | FID | 27 | 2747.51 |  |
| 9． | Ural Khasanov | FID | 46 | 2927.99 | 19． | Ulrich Voigt | GER | 27 | 2556.30 |  |
| 10． | Jonathan Mestel | GBR | 46 | 2632.25 |  | 20． | Dolf Wissmann | NED | 26 | 2478.23 |

## INFORMAL TOURNEY OF THE MACEDONIAN PROBLEMIST：\＃2／2018

## Award by Vladimir Sorochan

In the informal tourney of The Macedonian Problemist for 2018 there were 18 problems by 15 authors from 9 countries．Some compositions are similar to the earlier efforts of their authors．Basically，the new problems are better than their prototypes，which made it possible to include some of them in the award

The ranking in this award is given below．
${ }^{\text {st }}$ Prize：


1．．．c5a2． $9 \mathrm{f} 6 \# \mathbf{A}$

 1． 1．e：$d 4$ ？（ $2.9 \mathrm{f} 6 \#$ ）囬 a 3 ！

－


The problem shows change of mates in Zagoruiko form，with king Schiffmann defence in each phase（only a few \＃2s with Zagoruiko $3 \times 2$ and triple Schiffmann exist）．Alas，this complex idea is not backed up by nice introductory play．W1 moves are straightforward and unpretentious in all phases．For comparison， in an earlier \＃2 by the same author with an analogous scheme （ $3^{\text {rd }}$ Pr．ChessStar 2018，https：／／www．yacpdb．org／\＃468733）the introductory play is much more interesting，owing to the flight－giving white first moves，but the main content is poorer there，as the royal Shiffimann exists in only two phases．
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Prize：
Sergey I．Tkatchenko \＆


1．．． 1 ： g 3 2．鼻：$: 3$ 3
1．．．$\downarrow 6$ f
1． 0 ）$d 2$ ？（2．比e4\＃ $\mathbf{A})$


 1．．．c6 2 ．営：f5\＃ 1．．．e：94／e：12 2．Memen A of the first move with the Barnes theme and double threat correction．The composition is a successful remake of a previously published problem by one of the co－authors （Sergey I．Tkachenko， $6^{\text {th }}$ Comm Uralsky Problemist－ 25 JT 2018，https：／／www．yacpdb．org／\＃467689）．It is important to note that the problem＇s content is extended，including a fourfold change of mate in the additional variation．But there is no Rotterdam－Kharkhov theme，that was declared by the authors．There is no phase in which，as provided for by the theme，the individual parts of the multiple refutation of the try would act as defences in parallel variations，and there would be a collective change of the functions of moves．One of the three thematic refutations（ $1 \ldots$ ．．車：e 7 ！）is not an actual defence at all． However，these details did not affect the ranking of the composition among the prizewinners
$3^{\text {rd }}$ Prize：




1．．．象d6 2．曷f7
1．．． 4 e4 2． 0 c4\＃
．．．． 1 e4 2． 0 ： $\mathrm{f3}$ \＃


1．．．定b3 2．獣c7\＃


1．．．${ }^{\text {a }}$ e4 2． 0 g4\＃
Another problem with a choice of the first move．The number of phases is fewer then in the previous composition，but the set of themes is more diverse－Barnes theme，Sushkov theme， royal le Grand，change of mates in Zagoruiko form plus the

Dombrovskis theme with a double threat．In the initial position no mate is prepared after $1 \ldots$ ．．．One explanation that suggests itself is that there was no other way of avoiding cooks．The departure of the knight from the hot e4 square looks completely predictable．This slightly spoils the impression of this problem which is otherwise good．
$1^{\text {st }}$ Honourable Mention：
Dragan Stojnić，№ 2119


| 1．Gd1？（2．畕b3\＃A） $1 . . . \mathrm{e} 4 \times 2$ ．${ }^{\text {O }} \mathrm{d} 6 \#$ B 1．．．c：b4 2．销c8\＃ |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


1．．．e4 x 2．蒠b3\＃
1．．．c：b4 2．啺c8\＃
1．．．当：f6，当e4 2．㘳（：e4\＃

$2^{\text {nd }}$ Honourable Mention：
Anatoly Slesarenko，№ 2188

曾：e5\＃ $\mathbf{C}$

1．．．def5 $\mathbf{x}$ 2．営：$: 5 \# \mathbf{C}$


1．．．${ }^{\text {U }} \mathrm{f} 5 \mathrm{x} 2$ ．．씌 f7\＃B
1．）e4！（2．：e5\＃C）

172． 2 C7B

．
1．．． 4 c6 2 ．断：c8\＃
$3^{\text {rd }}$ Honourable Mention：
Givi Mosiashvili，№ 2118



1．．．． ：$: 4$ ！ $\mathbf{x}$
1．今cd4？（2．© $\mathrm{f} 3 \#$ ）安 h 5 ！

1．．．dede 42.9 分：f4\＃
1．．． 4 ：el 2．g：f4\＃
1． 0 b4！（2． 0 d3\＃）

1．．．e：e4 2．氖d4\＃
1．．． 1 ：el 2．g：f4\＃
$1{ }^{\text {st }}$ Commendation：





$2^{\text {nd }}$ Honourable Mention：Anatoly Slesarenko，№ 2188
Burmistrov combination with differentiation of mates in Ellerman－Mäkihovi manner． An aesthetic and well－constructed problem with pattern play．
$3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Honourable Mention：Givi Mosiashvili，№ 2118
Dombrovskis theme with a double threat，and good addition of change of mate after a self－pinning flight by the black king on the square granted by the key move．

## $1^{\text {st }}$ Commendation：Zoran Gavrilovski，№ 2120

Royal le Grand theme with direct battery play in the try and indirect battery play in the solution．A few pieces can be saved，as shown in the diagram below：

## V．Sorochan，№ 2120 （version）




1．c7！（2．所：f7\＃B）<br><br>1．．．堂：c4 2．聯 5 5<br>1．．．． 当b6 2．9：b6\＃C<br>1．．．安c6 2．씅：c6\＃<br>



## $2^{\text {nd }}$ Commendation：Yanuarta Simadhinata，№ 2117

The first moves of the tries weaken the position of White by granting a square to the black king－c5 and e4，respectively．Contrary to standard logic，a move leading to success is the one which unifies both weaknesses in the actual play：in the solution，the black king gains access to both c5 and e4．Many years ago such a paradox was called the＂Soviet－French theme＂，or the＂French－Soviet theme＂－whichever you prefer．The battery play with anti－dual choice of mates fits organically into the final combination
$3^{\text {rd }}$ Commendation：Aleksandr Pankratiev \＆Zoran Gavrilovski，№ 2192
The first moves of the tries become mates in the solution．This well－known scheme was shown earlier（for example：Jean－Pierre Boyer， $1^{\text {st }}$ Pr．Europe－Echecs 1981 （https：／／www．yacpdb．org／\＃2990）； Aleksandr Pankratiev， $2^{\text {nd }} H . M$. Wola Gułowska 1989 （https：／／www．yacpdb．org／\＃377269）；Evgeny Fomichev，Variantim 2013 （https：／／www．yacpdb．org／\＃441950），but here it is brilliantly polished and devoid of any shortcomings that feature in its predecessors．If the mechanism had been original， it would have scored a Prize，but，alas，it is awarded only a Commendation．
$4^{\text {th }}$ Commendation：ŽZivko Janevski，№ 2121
Royal Schiffmann in the try and Schiffmann－1 defence in the solution．This well－conceived problem has a substantial flaw： 0 a is not needed in the final phase．

Vladimir Sorochan，April 2023
Thanks to Vladimir for his award，made promptly after being asked in the beginning of 2023 to replace the originally appointed judge．His award will remain open until 1．4．2024．Please send anticipation claims to zoran．gavrilovski＠gmail．com（Editor）．

## INFORMAL TOURNEY OF THE MACEDONIAN PROBLEMIST：\＃3／2022

## AWARD by Oleg Efrosinin

Twelve problems by authors from Azerbaijan，Belarus，Israel，Macedonia，Russia，Slovakia and Ukraine participated in the tourney．In quantitative terms，it is quite worthy，taking into account numerous similar competitions．When evaluating the \＃3s，I took into account，first of all， the tactical richness of variations，the harmony of the defences and the quality of construction．

I thank all authors for their problems and I propose the following ranking．


## 1st Prize：Viktor Volchek，№ 2662

Two central variations with exchange of mates after two black defences $2 \ldots \mathrm{e}$ and $2 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 5$ with interesting exchange of pieces whose lines are interfered－black queen and black rook． Original！The key should be considered successful，since the rook＇s W1 move sets up an ambush．

## $2^{\text {nd }}$ Prize：Zoran Gavrilovski，№ 2595

The author refers to the Chumakov helpmate theme（＂black pieces blocking the squares adjacent to the black king in set play are eliminated in the solution），where captures of black pieces correspond to helpmate－specific features．In my opinion，in the orthodox（and especially the \＃3）field，such a double capture of black pieces，as in the $1^{\text {st }}$ pair of variations，looks inorganic．The $2^{\text {nd }}$ pair involves
 by transfer of black defences from B1 to B2 moves．Someone once called this idea the Babouchka theme．Owing to this feature，this problem deserves a high distinction！The light setting（gravura－ 10 pieces）and the excellent use of the white pieces emphasize the impression．

## 3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ Prize：Zoltán Labai \＆Miroslav Svítek，№ 2596

The $1^{\text {st }}$ pair with exchange of squares of self－blocks and sacrifices looks great．In the $2^{\text {nd }}$ pair there is a changed move function．The tactical unity is fine in the $1^{\text {st }}$ pair，but there is inconsistency in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ pair：diversion and self－blocking．The key is weak．
${ }^{\text {st }}$ Honourable Mention：


1． E 6！（2．世4． $\mathrm{d} 4+$ 里： $\mathrm{d} 43.9 \mathrm{~d} 3 \#)$
1．．．bc5 $\mathbf{x} 2.0 \mathrm{f} 7+$ 是：f7 3．鼻d6\＃
1．．．$\downarrow \mathrm{dc} 5 \mathrm{y}$ 2．党f5＋g：f5 3．鼻f6

1．．．黒d1 2.0 ： $96+\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{g} 63$ 3．f4\＃
Two variations with square vacating sacrifices，supplemented by a $3^{\text {rd }}$ variation with transfer of the black defences from B1 to B2 moves．This idea is shown in the problem that is honoured with $2^{\text {nd }}$ Prize．It is pleasant that the threat also includes a square vacating sacrifice．Finally，the 27 pieces on the chessboard indicate the constructional complexity of realising the scheme that has been chosen by the authors．In this context，I note that all pieces are properly used



1．．．

1．．．亚f2 2．句：f2＋：f2 3．g：f3\＃
The authors needed no fewer than 25 pieces for showing evacuation sacrifices（on three squares）in four variations． The white knights are used well，but the rook on f5 plays in only one variation．Finally，the repetition of a white $2^{\text {nd }}$ move （2．$:$ ：f2 + ）also does not enhance the problem


1．息：e7？（2． y f2＋





1．© Gff？（zugzwang）h3！




1．鼻e1！（zugzwang）


1．．．h3 2．Wmg3＋C ©

The authors referred to the tries 1 ．鼻：e7？1．期e3？and 11 ．䈍 $f 7$ ？which may cause some trouble to the solvers，but in terms of content their meaning is diminished due to refutations
by means of king＇s flights to a free square．In the solution there are two sacrifices by the white queen，of which particularly beautiful is the variation 1．．．d 5 ．紧：e6＋！with a model mate．Alas，there is only one such mate ．．．


## $1^{\text {st }}$ Commendation：Nikita Kravtsov，№ 2659

According to the author：＂Two systems of corner－to－corner play＂．A simple，but clear plot on the topic：to which corner should the white queen move？The black bishop b3 determines everything．Such a thematic play is enriched by change of white continuation after $1 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 2$ ．The problem makes a pleasant impression both from a composing and solving point of view．

## $2{ }^{\text {nd }}$ Commendation：Evgeny Gavryliv，№ 2661

According to the author：＂Zagoruiko with play after B1 and B2 moves＂．The change of continuations and mates here is of a geometric（formal）nature，i．e．it is not associated with a change in the nature of the pieces＇interaction．The linear play of the white pieces in itself is devoid of any interest．

## $3^{\text {rd }}$ Commendation：Vladimir Kozhakin，№ 2658

A miniature！A sacrificial introductory move and two variations with quiet second moves． I would like to paticularly note the pseudo－threat：Black does not have a waiting move to allow enforcement of this threat（1．．．？？2．象e3）．However，there are no significant reasons to exclude a pseudo－threat from the author＇s content－after all，in this case we are dealing with ．．．a miniature．

Three problems were not honoured：

Aleksandr Pankratiev，№ 2597

\＃3＊
$8+12$







Leonid Makaronez \＆
Viktor Volchek，№ 2599

\＃3 $13+12$
1．畕e1！（2．d3＋㗬：d3 3．斯：a6\＃）

1．．．嘗d3 2．${ }^{\text {O}} \mathrm{e}$ e5＋d：e5 3．c：d3\＃
1．．．黒b5＋2．答：b5＋a：b5 3．d3\＃

2．．．堂b5 3．d3\＃
1．．．e：d2 2．宽f1＋嘗d3／兑c3


Vladimir Kozhakin \＆ Anastasiya Bazhan，№ 2657


3．M M ：f6\＃／Mimd

1．．．tg6 2．Mirf：f6＋odh $/$／oh 5
3．Mg7\＃／ $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{g} 7 \#$
1．．．日g5 2．Mm：f6＋bh5 3．

2．．．f5 3．Ming d6\＃

Aleksandr Pankratiev，№ 2597
The eternal Siers battery with five variations in a light setting．However，in terms of the idea there is no novelty here．Very simple motivations：interference（1）＋diversions（4）．The variation from the set play does not add a quality scale，because it is duplicated in the threat．Compare with the problem by the Soviet classic：E．Umnov， $2^{\text {nd }} \operatorname{Pr} .641940$（https：／／www．yacpdb／48138），where 16 pieces show more interesting interferences（3）＋diversions（2）．

## Leonid Makaronez \＆Viktor Volchek，№ 2599

There is some（weak）tactical analogy in the main variations －in which ambushing white pieces（rook d8 and bishop e1）come into play．The only truly beautiful variation is $1 \ldots$ 笪：d2 with the square vacating sacrifice 2 ． $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{d} 4+$ and annihilation of the pawn． But the weak use of the white rook d8 and especially the bishop g 2 （重 g 2 and $\boldsymbol{k} 3$ can be simply removed from the board）shows that the authors should continue their search in this scheme， focusing on a more integral play from an idea point of view．

Look at a possible variant of a scheme of this problem（the diagram on the right side）：A similar variation is added to the aforementioned variation from the authors＇version，with analogous use of opening of the f2－c5 line．In addition，the alteration of the sacrifice and mate by two white pieces（the queen and the knight b5）unifies the variations．

Scheme of № 2599


1．蔂 f2！（2．e4＋堂：e4
3．Me9：b7\＃）
 3．）c7\＃
 3．Memc5\＃（2．．．© d 43 ．Mab6\＃）
 3．欮：b5\＃，2．．．定c6 3．孳：c6\＃）

Vladimir Kozhakin \＆Anastasiya Bazhan，№ 2657
There is a changed continuation after the black king＇s move 1．．．${ }^{6} \mathrm{e}$ e5．However，the remaining play is standard and the defences $1 \ldots$ g6 and $1 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 5$ merely prolong the short threat．

Oleg Efrosinin，International Judge for chess compositions Volgograd（Russia），30．08．2023
Thanks to Oleg for the award，which is open until 1．4．2024．Claims to zoran．gavrilovski＠gmail．com

## INFORMAL TOURNEY OF THE MACEDONIAN PROBLEMIST：STUDIES／2022

 Award by Steffen S．Nielsen11 studies took part in the 2022 informal study tournament of The Macedonian Problemist， the first of its kind．They were sent to me by the editor Zoran Gavrilovski．Considering that the tournament wasn＇t announced until well into 2022，the number of entries was satisfactory and so was the level．but I believe the level was somewhat disappointing．I am always happy to see a new study tournament emerge，and I am convinced the level will be even higher in subsequent tournaments of The Macedonian Problemist．

I regret having to repeat my lesson from previous awards that a series of precise，economical， but essentially idea－less moves do no constitute an artistic work of any value．In this tournament Kekely and Hlinka repeated their＂crimes＂．I cannot begin to guess how these works come about．Are the pieces simply set up until the engine gives a long，captureless line without duals？

Other studies left out the award were based on ideas I find boring／outdated．For instance，a king move to a precise square in response to a check（Arestov），where the king would have been vulnerable later on，had it moved to any other square．Additional ideas are needed to make this concept great again．

The study by Minski／Avni，though of course skillfully crafted，too much resembles previous similar tactics of stalemate avoidance and unguarded guards．

One study by Egorov（№ 2660）shows some good play，but the capture of the rook c2 is very harmful．The other（№ 2667）by the same author，also doesn＇t show any clear idea（at least I couldn＇t find it）．Both studies unnecessarily begin with Black to move

Prusikin＇s attempt at a middle game study did not convince me despite two moves out of the ordinary．Having 18 pieces on the board calls for more ambitious ideas．

The two top studies of the tournament were very close in quality．In the end I gave first prize to the most ambitious idea rather than to the amazing flow of the other candidate．



 2．홍h1 曾a8



 3．．．当e4［3．．．当：a6 4．g：f3 宸：e6 5．f8管＋！］


 The jump in place 啹d6－a6－d6 puts Black in zugzwang， avoids the stalemate and traps the black queen，1－0．
White needs to foresee a Black stalemate trap．White plays 3．発a6！！to ensure the position after 11．畄 d6 is reached with Black to move．A difficult concept．Black to move and an unnatural rook on f 3 in the initial position are clear blemishes．
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Prize：
Michael Pasman，№ 2670



4．$乞 \mathbf{g} 5$ ！［4．

4．．．定e75． $\mathbf{V}^{2} 4+$









An exquisite and epic miniature．Perhaps even too epic as the lines are also very difficult with alternatives on most moves（particularly 4．．6．677，4．odgeg）．Still the study is packed with beautiful moves and even has relevance to the endgame theory．The final trick 13． 0 g 6 h 2 14． $\mathrm{Q}^{2} 4$ is known from Fahrni／Keemink，Het Eindspiel， 1928 （HHDBVI № 80465）．


Commendation：
Sergey Didukh，№ 2671




 8． 0 g 6 positional draw］



9．a：b3 c：b3 10． 0 f6＋！g：f6 stalemate， $1 / 2-1 / 2$
I consider 7.6 b 5 ！！the move of the tournament，anticipating a stalemate that is not at all obvious．The study is of course weakened by the violent nature of the introductory play，but I see no clear improvement．
 3．学：b1 亶b8＋！］











The rook／king chase is known from many studies．Didukh himself mentions Dolgov’s № 49745 from Stavropolskaja Pravda 1972，as an inspiration．I also remember my own from The Problemist 2013 （HHDBVI \＃7829）where the sacrifices were also motivated by the need to evacuate the bishop from a promotion square．Amusingly，in that study it is the king that tries to escape and secure a win，while here it is the rook that is trying to force a win．The present study is undoubtedly more elegant（there is material equality and excellent introductory moves）．But in terms of novelty there is not enough for a higher place．

In the e－version of the award（which is available in PDF and PGN at www．arves．org）all the unawarded studies are presented below the award for reference．

Thanks to Steffen for the award，which is open until 1．4．2024．Claims to zoran．gavrilovski＠gmail．com

## FIDE VETERANS SUPPORT PROGRAM 2023：SECOND INSTALMENT

The FIDE Council＇s special panel decided that 10 chess players，coaches，and promoters will benefit from the second instalment of FIDE＇s support to chess veterans in 2023，each receiving $€ 2,500$（https：／／fide．com／news／2492）．One of the beneficiaries is the Macedonian Živko Janevski，born on 4 August 1953 in Macedonia，who is one of the most prominent chess problemists of our era．He earned the title of FIDE Grandmaster for Chess Compositions in 1996 and at the time of publication of the news on the $2^{\text {nd }}$ instalment（4 July 2023）stood fifth on the list of the FIDE Album points 1914－2021 behind Petko Petkov（Bulgaria），Michel Caillaud（France），Mikhail Marandyuk（Ukraine）and Aleksandr Kuzovkov（Russia）．

Živko was a double World champion in composing helpmates（1989－91 and 1998－2000）and the Olympic champion in the same genre in 1990．However，his composing results and the huge work of around 4000 published problems were only a small part of the enormous contribution to chess composition．Being an International Judge（1979），he officiated and commented on over 100 tourneys in chess composition，including Olympic Tourney，FIDE World Cup and World Championship in Composing for Individuals（WCCI）．During the World Chess Composing Tournament（WCCT）2003－04，he performed the most challenging role of the WCCT director．

To perform his arbiter duties in the best possible way，Živko created his own computer database，entering tens of thousands of chess compositions with the help of his sons for the benefit of the community，always ready to help others in their anticipation search． Živko Janevski worked as an engineer and computer expert， devoting the rest of his time to chess composition．A big part of it was devoted to publishing expert articles，magazines and books， and one of the themes in chess composition holds his name．He was the General Editor of the famous Orbit，a unique magazine （in English）devoted to helpmates and selfmates from 1999 to 2014．Z̃ivko also penned，edited and printed a series of books on chess composition：Anthology of Macedonian Problem Chess （1987）；The Closed Circle（1993）；Vukota Nikoletić：Selected Problems（2002）；ASP－The Caught of My Imagination（2004）； The cycles and strategy in selfmates（2009）；Živko Janevski Selected Helpmates（2009）；Chris J．Feather－Selected Helpmates （2010），the latter by Chris J．Feather and Živko Janevski．

We congratulate Živko on the well deserved recognition by the FIDE and we wish him many more successes in the problem chess field（Editorial board of＂The Macedonian Problemist＂）．

．定e3 囟b8＋2．흘d4 f：e3\＃




ORIGINAL PROBLEMS，edited by Zoran Gavrilovski
\＃2／JUDGE：KAbE MoEN（USA）

2769 Antonio Tarnawiecki \＆Paz Einat，Lima \＆Ness


2772 Pavel Murashev
Himki（Russia）



2773 Luis Gómez Palazon \＆Miguel Uris，Murcia \＆


2771 Pavel Murashev Himki（Russia）


2774 Givi Mosiashvili
Rustavi（Georgia）

\＃3／Judge：Predrag ŽUVIĆ（Croatia）

2775 Petrašin Petrašinović Belgrade（Serbia）


2776 Vidadi Zamanov \＆
Viktor Volchek（AZE／BLR）


2777 Gennady Ignatenko

## Taganrog（Russia）



[^0]2769 A．Tarnawiecki \＆

## P．Einat

1．Ge3？（2．Og2\＃）© 1．．．h3 2．寞 $\mathrm{g} 3 \neq$

1．．． $\boldsymbol{y}^{2} \mathrm{e} 5$ 2．蔂e3\＃
1．当g1？（2．寞 $\mathrm{e} 3 \# \mathbf{A}$ ）d4！
1．${ }^{\text {one5}}$ ！（2．党 $\mathrm{g} 4 \#$ ）


1．．．f5 2．© d3\＃
B－theme．（Zoran Gavrilovski）

## 2770 P．Murashev

1．옄：f6？（zugzwang）c3！，b4！ 1．．．g3 a，© ${ }^{\circ}$ ：d6 b 2．씅 d4\＃A 1．©：g4？（zugzwang）c3！ 1．．．${ }^{\text {obebe6／f：g4／b4 }}$

1．Ee：c4？C（zugzwang）b4！
1．．．g3 a／b：c4／f：g5

 1．．．dec5 2．皆d4\＃A

1．．．g3 a／dat：d6 b／f：e5／dod4
2．
Salazar（CB），Reversal II（DB），
Urania（B），Dombrovskis paradox $(\mathrm{aB})$ ，change of 2 mates，transfer of 4 mates（cycle XY－YZ－ZX） and Kharkov II theme．（Author）

## 2771 P．Murashev

 1．．．室：d2 2．．M \％：f5\＃A

1．．．e6 z 2．${ }^{\text {on }}:$ ：c6\＃
1．息：f5？（2． 0 ： $\mathrm{c} 6 \# \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{e} 1$ ！





Battery creation，Caprice，pseudo le Grand，flight－giving key，check provocation，switchback，change of 2 mates and transferred mate． （Author）

2772 P．Murashev
1．．．定c～2．留： e \＃ X
 1．．．e5 a $2.4 \mathrm{f} 6 \#$

1．．．e5 a／de5 2．

1．．．d4／ebe5 b／is ：e6＋
2．M⿰幺幺⿴囗十心
Salazar mechanism，pseudo－
Salazar， 3 different battery plays，change of 2 mates and transfer of 1 mate．（Author）

2773 L．Gómez Palazon \＆ M．Uris
1．欮g6？（2．臂b5\＃A，䈓d6\＃C）
1．．．当：e4 x 2．細：e6\＃B
1．．．岩b1！

1．．．坒： $4 \times 2$ 2 嘽b5\＃
1．．．客：f6／e5 2．

1．．．当： $4 \times 2$ ．学d6\＃

2．．．
Burmistrov combination．
（Authors）
The try 1．朔g6？regrettably takes a flight．（Z．G．）
2774 G．Mosiashvili

1．．．${ }^{\boldsymbol{y}} \mathrm{g} \mathrm{g}$ ： 3 ！x

1．．． $\boldsymbol{y}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{f}$ ：e3！y


$1 \ldots$ f：e3 y 2 ．Mul\＃
1．．．嘗：d8 2 ．党de7\＃
1．．．量：g7！
1．党：：d6！（2．气）：c6\＃B）
1．．． $1 \mathrm{~g}: \mathrm{e} 3 \times 2.0$ ： $\mathrm{g} 6 \# \mathrm{~A}$
1．．． $4 \mathrm{f}: \mathrm{e} 3 \mathrm{y} 2$ ．M Mg3\＃ m
1．．．曾：d8，宣e7＋2．
Secondary Dombrovskis，le Grand theme，separation of dual mates and $2 \times 3$ change of mates．（Author）

2775 P．Petrašinović



1．Wじ C c2？（zugzwang）dd6！
 1．c7？（2．c8喽）de4！




 3．Me6\＃／Mid7\＃

The give－and－take key takes control of e6，but it is still good because of the set play after the royal flight to e6．（Z．G．）

2776 V．Zamanov \＆

## V．Volchek

 2．．．b：c4／f3 3．鸴a5\＃B／葸g3\＃C 1．．．堂：g5 2．哩d5＋A 을f6 3．Mrir h 8 \＃
1．．．b：c4 2．喈a5＋B（6） 4 3．悤：f5\＃

3．
1．．f：g6 2．Mily ：e6＋©
3．㽞e7\＃
Transformation of 3 mates from the threat into the $2^{\text {nc }}$ moves of the variations in the solution．（Authors）

2777 G．Ignatenko
 3． $\mathrm{G} \mathrm{d} 4 \#$ B）

3．
3．



2．．．$\downarrow$ f4 3．e：f4\＃
Two pairs of obstructions， exchange of moves AB－BA and mates from the squares d4 and f4．（Author）

2778 Ivo Tominić
Split（Croatia）

$9+11$

2779 Evgeny Gavryliv Lviv（Ukraine）

\＃3 v

2780 Valery Shavyrin Ekaterinburg（Russia）

\＃3 ${ }^{\mathrm{v} v}$

## \＃4－N

2781 Gennady Matyushin St．Petersburg（Russia）

2782 Gennady Matyushin St．Petersburg（Russia）

c）$\& \mathrm{e} 5 \rightarrow \mathrm{~b} 6 \& \# 5$
2784 Sergey I．Tkachenko \＆ Leonid Lyubashevsky， Slavutich \＆Rishon le Zion
（Ukraine \＆Israel）


2785 Ralf Krätschmer Neckargemünd（Germany）


2783 Valery Shavyrin Ekaterinburg（Russia）


2786 Aleksandr Pankratiey \＆IgorYarmonov Khabarovsk（Russia）\＆ （Switzerland）
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## 2778 I．Tominić

1．今e2？A（2．© c3\＃）定： g 7 ！ 1．鼻：d3？B（2．息c2\＃）客g6！

 3．寞c2\＃
1．．．f5 2．寞： d 3 B～3．頜c2\＃
Black closes potential lines of his $\boldsymbol{\text { Q }}$ s by defending through \＆／嘗－Bristols：1．．．e5 ．．．2．．．黒e6 or 1．．．f5 ．．．2．．．堂f6．（Author）

## 2779 E．Gavryliv



1．．．${ }^{\text {d }} d 7$ ！$y$
1．背d6！（2．쓸：d4＋e：d4 3．登：d4\＃）




## 2780 V．Shavyrin


1．Gh 4 ？D（2． $0 \mathrm{f} 5 \#$ ）堂：f3！

3． $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{e} 2 \# \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{mb} 3 \# \mathbf{B}$ ）

2．．．運e3！［虽f3？？］3．孳：c5\＃



3．Murb4\＃／亘： $\mathrm{e} 4 \#$
1．Dresden－Brunner－2．．．d e3！ ［嘗f3？？］，2．．．象c5！［ 1 b5？？］； 2．Umnov 2 theme－ 3 ．${ }^{0} \mathrm{e} 2 \# \mathbf{A}$
 3．paradox a la Hubert Gockel （SuperProblem TT－223 2022）－ c 5 is blocked after 1．所： c 7 ？ but unblocked by 2．M M c 7 ！，and e3 is blocked after $1 . \hat{Y} h 4$ ？ but unblocked by 2 ． 0 h4！．A first synthesis of Dresden logic first synthesis of Dresden logic
and 2 paradoxes：Umnov 2 （a and 2 paradoxes：Umnov 2 （a
defence on a threat square）and Gockel paradox where the W1 moves in the tries become actual W2 moves after strengthening of the black position．（Author）

2781 G．Matyushin

 1．．．g2！2．宽 $\mathrm{g} 3 \mathrm{~g} 1 \boldsymbol{y}^{1}+$
1．邑al？（zugzwang）象g2！
1．．．g2 2．杂f5 g1 宸／doh


1．蓦 a7！（zugzwang）




## 2782 G．Matyushin

 1．宴h1！（zugzwang）
1．．．禺a5 2．．．ㄹ．c5（zz）d6＋
3．e：d6 a6 4．

3．e：d6（e．p．）diba4 4．를a2\＃
1．．．d5＋2．e：d6 e．p．（zz）©ba
3．．．．

1．．．da5／d5＋2．


2b7！h1 当 3．算a2＋\＆b6


4．．．${ }^{\text {dida3 }}$ 5．씅 $\mathrm{b} 4 \#$
2783 V．Shavyrin

2．寞 b 5 ！？ B 室c4！

2． Q a 2 ！？A 客： d 4 ！
1．宦d7！（2．宽 $\mathrm{f} 5+$ ） f 5
3．邑e6＋ 1 e5 4．를：$: 5 \#$
1．．．亘c6 2．宦：c6（3．宦： $\mathrm{d} 5 \#$ ）

3．．． 1 e5 4．



 2．．．c4 3． H a 2 ！A（4．0 c3\＃）
3．．．c3［3．．．客：d4？？］4．寞d3\＃
Decoys of black line pieces， exchanged white moves and good logical play．（Z．G．）

2784 S．I．Tkachenko \＆
L．Lyubashevsky
 3． $0: f 6+$ ． 05 4． $9 f g 4 \#)$ $1 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 4+2.0: \mathrm{g} 4+$ 열 4

4． 0 bd7\＃／葸h5\＃

3． $0^{2} \mathrm{~b} 6+$ 遇 5 4．d4\＃
Model mates．（Authors）
2785 R．Krätschmer
 impossible because of ${ }^{0}$ a 5
 the do escapes－dob3／4／5． 1．留d6！（2．登： $\mathrm{d} 5 \#$ ）dide5



 10．${ }^{\text {entb }} \mathrm{b} 3$
Switchback by 憵 on the $7^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ moves．（Author）
Nice pendulum play．（Z．G．）
2786 A．Pankratiev \＆

## I．Yarmonov

1． $0^{0} \mathbf{b 5}+$ ！© 0




（6．．．© did5 7．
8．．．～9．를 c5\＃）7．d5 etc5！］


 9． $\mathrm{O} \mathrm{c} 5+$ 象 a 510 ．岂 $\mathrm{a} 2+$ ？ 6
 13．d5＋h：g1类 $14.0 \mathrm{~d} 7 \#$

Switchbacks at W5，W7，W10， W11 and W14 moves．A nice problem by Aleksandr and his Ukrainian colleague Igor who， after having fled to Israel，now arrived to his new residence in Switzerland．（Z．G．）

2787 Branislav Djurašević Belgrade（Serbia）


Studies／Judge：Yochanan AFEK（Netherlands）
 2．．．deg $6=$ or $2 \ldots$ ．．． $95=$ ．The move 3．㔡 $\mathrm{e} 5+$ ？？is impossible！




 and White does not possess its pawn to win］1．．．h1幽 2．鼻 $\mathbf{g} 7+$ ！


White escapes stalemate by Black with similar play（echo variations）in twins．Please，see the conceptual precursor Henri G．M．Weenink，The Chess Amateur January 1923， № 0844，https：／／www．yacpdb．org／\＃292884．（Author）






 exchange of 息a1 or，more slowly， h 7 ， g 8 and 里f6
 6．g8宸 + ：g8 7．鼻d3\＃］5．h4！！A tempo for activating the king is worth more than a pawn［thematic try：5．． 6 f3？dif7







## 1．皆b1！定 $\mathbf{d 5}+2$ ．雨： d 5



 9．㖘：$: 2$ stalemate］


4．．．亘：d55．．．씰 c6！！the decisive pin
5．．．．


## Main B：2．．． $\mathbf{~ e 2 + ! ~ 3 . ~ ㅎ ㅗ ㄹ e 5 ~ Y ~}$





Exchange of 3．올e5 and 3．．世木e6 in main A and B．（Authors） The Macedonian Problemist № 71 （May－August 2023）

2790 Michael Pasman


## 2791 Martin Minski

Berlin（Germany）

 2．．．열g8 3． 0 g 6 ，気f7］








9．登h8＋！㐘：g7 10．東e6＋当f6＋11．寞：f6\＃
Ideal mate．（Author）



1．．．当：d5 2．菑a7！

 6．．．d1 ！7．．


 2．寞 d 4 ？膤： $\mathrm{d} 4+3 . \mathrm{g} 4+$ 흘g1＋＋＋








 8．．．䇃f1 9．．

2792 Steffen Nielsen Copenhagen（Denmark）



Position X1 with 寞e3
 Position X2 with 寞a7

1．c8朔！畳：b5 blocking a6－c4 2．宽f1（3．欮c4\＃）畳e2 blocking f1－c4
 the access to c 4 ，the square he has been trying to conquer Main A：4．．． $\mathbf{c}$ c5！？［4．．．宣c5］





9．身：h5 当h3＋（宸h2＋）＝］
 9．断： $\mathrm{d} 4+$＋－

## 2794 Frank Richter \＆

## Hartmut Laue

## 2793 Waldemar Tura

 Adamów（Poland） Rostock \＆Kronshagen（Germany） Dedicated to Peter Sickinger for his $80^{\text {th }}$ birthday


2795 Gennady Koziura Makuchovka（Ukraine）


2800 Aleksandr Pankratiev


2798 Yury Gordian
Odessa（Ukraine）


## 2801 Marcel Tribowski

 Berlin（Germany）

[^1]2793 W．Tura
［1． 8 g $b 4+? /$ g
 A／B／C ：f3\＃／：e2\＃／ $155 \#)$
 2．．． 1 ：f3\＃／ $\mathrm{e} 2 \#$




1．．．f3 2．Min：f3＋A 4 ：f3\＃

1．．．h：g4 2． 4 f5 $+\mathbf{C}$ ：f5\＃
1．．．b5 2．鱼b4＋当：b3\＃
1．．．b：a5 2．筸c4＋当：b3\＃
Adabashev：I－Fleck with battery mates；II－Karlström Fleck：Rohr，dual avoidance， the $6^{\text {th }}$ WCCT theme．（Author）

## 2794 F．Richter \＆H．Laue

Dedicated to Peter Sickinger for his $80^{\text {th }}$ birthday


1．葸h6！（2．箩 $\mathrm{d} 1+$ 堂： d 1
3．笪（8）b2 $2 \mathrm{c}: \mathrm{b} 2 \#)$
1．．． $\mathbf{\text { Q }} \mathrm{d} 52$ 2．寞b3＋安： b 3
3．吡（1）b2＋c：b2\＃
1．．．定d4 x 2．党d1（3．巽（8）b2＋
3．．．c：b2\＃）2．．．s．b7，宣b6
3．嘼（1）d2＋c：d2\＃

3．．．c：b2\＃）2．．．笪e1
3．唱（8） $\mathrm{d} 2+\mathrm{c}: \mathrm{d} 2 \#$
Adabashev synthesis：pair I－ negating the move 3 ．曾 $1 \mathrm{~b} 2+$
 induced by sacrificing the $\stackrel{\mu}{g}$ on d1（threat）and 魹a2 on b3 （variation）；pair II－exchange of functions of the 算 s with inversion of Black＇s defence motive：Black＇s negation of the relative threat move 3 ． $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{b} 2+$ is exploited by forcing a battery exploited by forcing a battery
mate by means of a check on mate by means of a check on
d 2 by the other
$\underline{E}$ ．Quiet $2^{\text {nd }}$ moves．The thematic defences refute the tries．（Authors）

2795 G．Koziura


 …宣：d3 2．畕f3＋室d1 3．斎：b7 笪cl 4．等 dl 堂： $\mathrm{dl} \#$


Play by the white battery． （Author）

2796 G．Koziura
1． $\mathrm{G} f 6$ ？（2．吡d1＋电e3



3． $0 \mathrm{~g} 4+$ did $4.0 \mathrm{~h} 2+$ ， $\mathrm{O} \mathrm{e} 5+$

1．．．包造3！
1．Gg3？（zugzwang）
1．．．열 e 32. 曷 $\mathrm{f} 5+$ 웅 d

4．．．当： 64 5．哩 $\mathrm{d} 2+$ 宸： $\mathrm{d} 2 \#$
1．．．紧：b5！y
1．蒐e5？（zugzwang）


4．．．。迫e3 5．岂e2＋㫧：e2\＃
1．．．㫧：b5！y
1．${ }^{\text {r d }}$ ！（zugzwang）

3．后d1（zz）曾：b5 4．${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{b} \mathrm{b} 4+$

．．．当：b5y 2 ．岂 b3＋w $4 \cdot \mathrm{~b} 3$
3． $9 \mathrm{e} 5+$ 曾 $\mathrm{e} 34.9 \mathrm{dc} 4+$
4．．．当：c4 5．営e2＋当： 2 井

## 2797 G．Koziura

## 


3．．．g5 4．象 5 g 4 5．MMy： $\mathrm{b} 2+$
5．．．宣：b2 6．9b3＋宣：b3
7．苞： $22+$ 黒： $22 \#$
1．．g5 2．홍e2 g4 3．․․ㅇf1



A dynamic white king and play with

## 2798 Y．Gordian

1．鱼d5！b5 2．筧e2 b4 3．龺b2 b3 4．寞 f 3 d 5 5．筸： b 3 d 46 ．果c2

This problem lacks interplay and its play ends in a model mate．（Z．G．）

## 2799 A．Pankratiev





 After a very long checking sequence，Black is put into zugzwang and he can only mate the 岡 after a quiet W10 move．（Z．G．）

## 2800 A．Pankratiev







The 0 returns to h 3 after a good white manoeuvre．（Z．G．）

2801 M．Tribowski
1． M $^{4}$ ！（zugzwang）© d5




 12．홉g3 両c5 13 ．

＂From coast to coast＂after a long distance self－block Partially tested with＂Gustav＂ 4．1a．（Author）
It is not easy to foresee the need to block g4．The arrival of the on the opposite side of the chessboard is enabled by pinning of on a diagonal and orthogonal line．（Z．G．）

H\＃2／Judge：Michal Dragoun（CzECH Republic）


H\＃2½－3／JUdGE：VLADISLAV NEFYODOV（RUSSIA）


2802 E．Gavryliv

1．㫧： a 4 宽 f 2 2．当 a 3 寞： $4 \#$
Tempo B1 moves determine the choice of the W1 moves． Zilahi theme with captures of the thematic white pieces on different black moves（B2 in the first solution and B 1 in the second solution）．The position is inherently congested．（Z．G．）
Zilahi in a congested setting． （Nikola Stolev）

## 2803 V．Medintsev



Sacrificial decoy by a white piece in each solution decoys a black line piece from quarding a line of mating．Exchanged functions of two pairs of white pieces．（Z．G．）
Exchanged functions of the white rook and bishop．A good achievement．（N．S．）

## 2804 V．Gurov

1．e：d2 c：b6 2．． e e3 寞c5\＃
1．c：b3 e：d5 2．．dact 总e4\＃

1． 4 f 6 d 3 2． $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ ： $\mathrm{e} 4+$ 登： $\mathrm{e} 4 \#$
Mutual captures．A good idea with matching play．（N．S．）
In addition to mutual captures of the $\Delta$ and the $\varepsilon \mathrm{s}$ on c5 \＆ e4，the $1^{\text {st }}$ pair of solutions shows white square vacation， and the $2^{\text {nd }}$ pair of solutions involves W1 moves by $\xi \mathrm{b} 3$ and $\xi \mathrm{d} 2$ which are captured in the $1^{\text {st }}$ pair．The repetition of the W2 moves is inherent in this scheme and must be accepted as conditio sine qua non．（Z．G．）

2805 K．Velikhanov
1．g：f6 쓸h7 2．g5 씅［h］e4\＃



 For the first time a fivefold ambush by the white queen is shown！A task．（Author） A sixfold ambush with six mates was achieved earlier：

Zoran Gavrilovski
$1^{\text {st }}$ Pr．T．Ilievski MT 2022


H\＃2 6 solutions $8+12$







## 2806 I．Tominić

a） $1.0: \mathrm{e} 4 \mathrm{~g} 42 . \mathrm{c} 5 \mathrm{~d}: \mathrm{c} 5 \#$
 Each of the two half－pinned knights＇opens a gate＇to g4， clears a side－line and then it is sacrificed to a direct white pawn battery．（Author）
An excellent problem．（N．S．）
2807 E．Gavryliv
a）1．e：f6 Mrye8 2 ．堂： $\mathrm{d} 4+$ 高： $\mathrm{d} 4 \#$
 Gate opening＋pinning and sacrifice of 営s．（Z．G．）

2808 A．Pankratiev


1．．．首： a 2 2． $\mathrm{b} 4+$ 果b2

1．．．気d2 2．电a5 器b3
3．宣 b5 © $\mathrm{c} 4 \#$
An aristocratic miniature with 3 mates by the white knights is a good achievement，but the risk of anticipation should not be neglected even in an event of such a threefold rendering． （Z．G．）
The repetition of the black king＇s move to a4 is not quite pleasant，notwithstanding that it occurs on a different move． （N．S．）

## 2809 B．Majoros


3． 4 e5 气e7\＃


Simple play in a light setting without any pawn but the risk of anticipation is not negligible． （Z．G．）
A simple miniature．（N．S．）

## 2810 V．Medintsev


 Black first moves are tempo moves．（Author）．
Exchanged functions of the black pawns are an attractive introduction to the further play which involves promotions and mates by the promoted white queen．A good idea，which is well－executed in a meredith setting．（Z．G．）
A pleasant idea．（N．S．）

2811 Valery Gurov \＆ Aleksandr Feoktistov Moscow \＆Domodedovo－ Moscow district（Russia）


2812 Vidadi Zamanov \＆ Vlaicu Crişan
Goychay \＆Cluj－Napoca （Azerbaijan \＆Romania）


2813 Nikola Stolev Skopje（North Macedonia）


H\＃31／2－N／Judge：Christopher Jones（Great Britain）

## 2814 Evgeny Gavryliv

 Lviv（Ukraine）

2815 Aleksandr Pankratiev
Khabarovsk（Russia）


2816 Miroslav Bilý
Nýrsko（Czech Republic）


2818 Aleksandr Pankratiev
Khabarovsk（Russia）


2819 Marko Ylijoki
Jyvaskyla（Finland）


The Macedonian Problemist № 71 （May－August 2023）

2811 V．Gurov \＆

## A．Feoktistov

 $3 . g 5$ 朔 $\mathrm{e} 4 \#$


Elimination of white line pieces for the purpose of allowing a black pawn to self－block on a square that was vacated by the black king，whose repeated B2 move is inherent to the present scheme．（Z．G．）
A good realisation with matching solutions．This is a pleasant problem．（N．S．）

## 2812 V．Zamanov \＆ V．Crişan


3．意c6 気b5\＃
1．d：c3 d：c3 2．国b5 c4＋
3．．fa4 吡 $\mathrm{a} 2 \#$
 3．${ }^{6} \mathrm{e}$ e $4 \mathrm{~d} 3 \#$
Cyclic Zilahi with 登，会 and $\xi$ as thematic pieces， Moscow material（no more than 15 units）and 3 model mates．（Authors）
This Zilahi cycle makes me wonder what wonders have（not）been achieved in the helpmate field．（N．S．）

## 2813 N．Stolev

1．c1定 h7 2．定 a 3 h 8 씁
3．客c5 朔a8\＃
1．c1堂 h：g72．堂el g8筧

Mixed Allumwandlung（4 different promotions）in a slightly detracts from the overall meredith setting．（Author）impression（Z．G．）

Complete analogy of play with consecutive Bristol and arrival of black pieces on a square that was vacated by the black king，cyclic change of white moves ABCD－ CDAB，or exchange of W1／W3 and W2／W4 moves．（Z．G．）
The play with multiple Bristol is good．（N．S．）

## 2815 A．Pankratiev



5．．．置g3\＃
An ideal mate．（Author）
2816 M．Bilý


5． 早 $^{2}$ 分h3 3
1．定e3 象b2 2．嘗f5 東c3



3．安 g 3 象 c 3 4．电 f 4 옹 d 4
5．安f3 苍e6\＃
 3．堂f6 東c4 4．象f5 器d5 5．宜f4 学e7\＃
Blocking piece replacement $($ 堂－宴）$\times 2$ ，echo mates（shifted， 0,1 ），chameleon echo．（Author）

2814 E．Gavryliv 2817 M．Ylijoki








The Macedonian Problemist № 71 （May－August 2023）

Retros（2022－2024）／Judge：Hans Gruber（Germany）


Fairies／Judge：Hans Gruber（Germany）

2823 Daniel Novomeský
Bratislava（Slovakia）


2826 Anatoly Styopochkin
Tula（Russia）


2824 Mihaiu Cioflanca
Piatra－Neamt（Romania）

HS\＃4 $1 / 22$ 2 solutions $6+4$
2827 Predrag Žuvić Zagreb（Croatia）




2825 Anatoly Styopochkin Tula（Russia）


2828 Armin Geister \＆Daniel Papack，Berlin（Germany）


2820 V．Syzonenko
1．a4 f6 $2 . \mathrm{a} 5$ didf $3 . \mathrm{a} 6$ dig6 4．a：b7 obh5 5．b：客c8 5．．．g6 6．© ：e7！： e ！
$7 . \mathrm{b} 4 \mathrm{f} 58 . \mathrm{b} 5 \mathrm{~g} 3$
9．b6 ：h1 10．b：c7 g3
$11 . c 8$ ！ 4512.0 e 7 ！
12．．．
14．宦e7！d6
Proofgame：A game that starts from the initial game position in n moves．
Elimination of pawns on a2，b2，b7 and c7，© $\mathbf{~} \mathrm{c} 8$ ）， two minor promotions on c8，sacrifices on e7，black valve，anti－dual play and switchback．（Author）

## 2821 V．Syzonenko

1．a3 a6 2．a3－b5：a6 堂b8
3．営 a3 b7－b4：a3 4．c4


Make\＆Take：Before any unit captures any other unit， it must first mimick a non－ capturing move by that unit． For example，if a $\&$ on a3 wants to capture a on a6，it first moves like a to b6，then captures the

Transit on a3．Sacrifice by a promoted $\Delta$ ，checks and mate to the $\quad$ ．Tested by Jacobi 0．7．5．（Author）

## 2822 H．Grudziński

1．a4 h5 2．a5 h4 3．a6 h3 4．a：b7 h：g2 5．b：a8 角！
5．．．g：f1 6．宽g2 $\mathrm{g}^{2} \mathrm{~d} 2$
7．宽f1 ：b1 8．Mend c：d6 9．寞h6 当a5＋10．常：b1
Provocateurs：A unit can capture only if it is observed by an opposing unit．
Pronkin（置fl）\＆Ceriani－ Frolkin（営：b1）．（Author）

2823 D．Novomeský
1．e4 2．e5 3．e：d6 4．d7 5．d8
 10．c．d5 11．d6 12．d7 13．d8楍

17．象f3 18．옄g2 19．엽g1

Series selfmate：White plays
a series of moves to reach a
position where Black is forced
to mate him；White can give check only on the last move of the series．
Royal march，Excelsior（ $\times 2$ ），
promotion， 1 underpromotion to 登，a white sacrifice，and Ceriani－Frolkin．（Author）

2824 M．Cioflanca
1．．．定d2 2．寞g4 象g2

5．踝f2＋：f2\＃
1．．．定g5 2．首g1 h：g1当＋

5．崽g2＋类：g2\＃
Helpselfmate：White moves first and is mated；Black helps until his final move，which must be forced，as in a selfmate． Interesting，though play is not fully matched，unified by black promotions．（Z．G．）

## 2825 A．Stypochkin

＊：1．．f1 1 当\＃


4．．．$\Delta \mathrm{g} 7$（ 열～）5．씅 g4 f1 宸 $\#$
2 ． g 63 ， $\mathrm{m} 5 \mathrm{5}+\mathrm{B}$ dh7
4．寞e $4+$ A
Reflexmate：a selfmate with the extra condition that either side must give mate in one if this becomes possible．
Fata morgana with round－ trip by the ${ }^{\mu} \mu \mathrm{G}$, ，switchback by the 寞 and repetition of the diagram position．（Z．G．）

2826 A．Stypochkin





Delayed Klasinc theme（W6，W8 and W9 moves），made possible by battery play at W6．（Z．G．）

## 2827 P．Žuvić


3． $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{g} 6+\mathrm{G}: \mathrm{g} 6$
b）1．（a6 3．毅 $\mathrm{d} 4+3 \mathrm{~B}: \mathrm{d} 4 \#$
Leo（pao／vao）：the Chinese queen （rook／bishop）which moves like a normal queen（rook／bishop）but captures like a lion（on rook／bishop lines only）．
NaO：the Chinese nightrider which moves like a nightrider but captures like a nightrider－lion．

Nightrider：a rider along a straight line on squares lying a knight＇s move away from each other．
Analogous play with exchanged roles of e1 and $\#$ 2，starting with consecutive line opening－ open－gate．（Author）
2828 A．Geister \＆D．Papack 1．䟫 $b 1+$ ？定 $\mathrm{g} 1+2.0 \mathrm{a} 7$ ！
1． 0 d6 $\mathrm{d} 4+2 . \mathrm{b} 5 \mathrm{c} 7-\mathrm{c} 7: \mathrm{d} 6$



 Mars Circe：capturing units are first reborn on their Circe rebirth square which must be vacant．
Neutral piece：belongs to which－ ever side chooses to use it．
MarsCirce specific replacing a white or black piece with a similar neutral one in logical form．（Authors）

VERSIONS OF ORIGINAL PROBLEMS FROM THE MACEDONIAN PROBLEMIST

2451v Predrag Žuvić

$\square_{B}=$ rook $-l o c$
） Pao，nightrider：see the definitions above on page 29

$\mathrm{H} \# 2 \quad$ Elsass Circe $\quad 11+8$
Elsass Circe：Circe rules apply， but no move may lead to a position that would be llegal in ordinary chess．

Grasshopper：moves along Q－lines over another unit of either colour to the square immediatelly beyond that unit．A capture may be made on arrival，but the hurdle is not affected

Rook－locust：moves like a locust，but only on rook－lines（locust：moves on Q－lines，but only by capturing an enemy unit，arriving immediatelly beyond the hurdle on a vacant square）．

## THE $11^{\mathrm{TH}}$ FIDE WORLD CUP 2023

The FWC 2023 was organised by the FIDE，with the participation of the World Federation for Chess Compositions（WFCC）．Director：Aleksey Oganesyan．Judges：Gérard Doukhan（\＃2s）； Jean－Marc Loustau（\＃3s）；Ralf Krätschmer（\＃4－ns）；Branislav Djurašević（studies）；János Csák （H\＃s）；Zoran Gavrilovski（S\＃s）；Borislav Gadjanski（fairies）；and Thomas Brand（retros）．

A（\＃2s， 36 entries）－1．Peter Gvozdják；2．Anatoly Slesarenko；3．Aleksandr Feoktistov
B（\＃3s， 32 entries）－1．Srećko Radović；2．Grigory Atayants；3．Aleksandr Kuzovkov．
C（\＃4－n， 34 entries）－1．Ferhat Karmil；2．Aleksandr Feoktistov；3．Aleksandr Kuzovkov
D（e．g．， 42 entries）－1．Luis Miguel Gonzáles；2．Sergey Osintsev；3．Michael Pasman．
E（H\＃s， 53 entries）－1．Anatoly Skripnik；2．Emil Klemanič；3．Vladislav Nefyodov．
F（S\＃s， 32 entries）－1．Aleksandr Feoktistov；2．Frank Richter；3．Sergey Borodavkin
G（fairies， 48 entries）－1．Abdelaziz Onkoud；2．Vlaicu Crişan；3．Juraj Brabec．
H（retros， 12 entries）－1．Joachim Hambros；2．Christoph Fieberg；3．Per Olin．


## ALEKSANDR VASILIEVICH GALITSKY

## by Stanislav Vokál

The world－famous chess composer Aleksandr Vasilievich Galitsky（21．2．1863 －18．11．1921）was the best chess composer in Russia at the turn of the $20^{\text {ti }}$ century．From 1886 he composed more than 1100 chess compositions， mostly threemovers and moremovers，and he was very active in publishing．

In 2010，in Poltava，today＇s Ukraine，a 2－part book Александр Галиикий－ шахматный Гейне（Aleksandr Galitsky－shahmatny Geine／chess Genius） by Kyril Urusov and Eugene Fomichev was published．A set of his 1106 chess problems（ 595 \＃3s）forms part 1 of the book．He was the author of 18 articles on chess composition，which were published between 1892 and 1916．His articles （ $2^{\text {nd }}$ part of the book）are the first Russian textbook on chess composition．It is a
 unique work in scope，and many of the ideas published there are still relevant today．Galitsky was also the founder of face－to－face solving competitions in Russia（Moscow and St．Petersburg）since 1900

Galitsky recognised the value of different chess ideas and schools．He preferred compositions with model mates，but also appreciated other elements．He composed his first chess problems in the spirit of the then popular Old German school，which emphasized the solution＇s difficulty，the content＇s richness and model mates in the main play．Later he was a follower of the Czech school， always trying to follow its principles in his compositions．After turning his attention to light chess pieces，a collection of his problems with his favorite pieces－bishop +2 knights（Schachprobleme， Weiss：Ein Laüfer und Zwei Springer）was published in Berlin．His miniatures were widely popular among chess players and fans．Galitsky was a King of miniature chess compositions．

Let＇s now look at a selection of his threemovers in the order of year of publication．Three selected moremovers（4\＃，5\＃\＆6\＃）will follow，again according to year of publication．

№ 1 is a meredith threemover with a quiet threat and four model mates．An excellent key with




In № 2 the white queen surprisingly moves down to e2．Why？In addition to the threat， the goal is to mate on e8 after $1 \ldots \mathrm{f} 5$ ．An interesting plot with three model mates．Solution：


№ 3 is an interesting meredith threemover with four model mates．The main plan 1． $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{f} 3$ ？ does not work due to the ${ }^{6}$＇s escaping via f5 and g5．Let＇s try to cover the f5 square by the move

1．e4？，which doesn＇t work due to $1 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 5$ ！The solution is $1 . e 3$ ！only．The main plan then works after 1．．．g5．Solution：1．
 3．d5\＃MM．

## 4．Aleksandr Galitsky

777 miniatures in three 1908


5．Aleksandr Galitsky
Deutsche Schachzeitung 1912


6．Aleksandr Galitsky
Magyar Sakkujság 1913

№ 4 is miniature with two echo model mates．Two variations（1．．．f6 \＆1．．．${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{d} 8$ ）are already prepared before the key．However，there is no response to $1 . . \mathrm{f} 5$ ，after 2.9 e e it is stalemate after all！The situation is unexpectedly solved by the retreat of the fot on the $1^{\text {st }}$ move down to the $3^{\text {rd }}$ row． This later offers the possibility of another move to the $\boldsymbol{\&}$ ．Neither 1 ．ge3？，nor even 1 ． 8 g 3 ？works


№ 5 is a logical meredith with Roman theme in Palkosky style．The thematic try 1．© 8 g 9 ？is
 moving his bishop to g 5 ，thereby forcing the $\boldsymbol{\&}$ to move to the g－column．After that，the intended main plan goes on．The spectacular key and play end with 4 model mates．Solution：1．$g$ g ？


№ 6 is a wonderful threemover with four model mates．An unexpected key surprises with the sacrifice by the ${ }^{0}$ ．A composition with Galitsky＇s favorite white material 20 是置
 2．象c2！（zz）h7～3．

7．Aleksandr Galitsky
Shakhmatny vestnik 1915

№ 7 was published in the retrospective FIDE Album 1914－44．An excellent key with departure of the 寞 from the＂fight＂scene kicks off the interesting action of this threemover，with the aim of ambushing behind $\boldsymbol{c} 7$ ！After the $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$＇s moves on b6 and c6，the 茵 gives a mate from the b8 square．The key also frees up the why s path to the c 7 and e7 squares．This is most evident in the play after $1 \ldots$ ．．． There are five model mates in the set play and solution．Se








8．Aleksandr Galitsky Shakhmatnoe obozrenie 1892


9．Aleksandr Galitsky La Strategie 1913


10．Aleksandr Galitsky Shakhmatny vestnik 1915

№ 8 is a Bohemian four－move meredith with model mates and an unexpected opening with





The 告 in action in № 9！Two manoeuvres by the forg lead to the goal．Is the fotreating from the battlefield？No way！He only temporarily retreats from the battle，handing over two free squares to his opponent．In the $2^{\text {nd }}$ move after $1 \ldots c 5$ the ${ }^{\text {s．abs }}$ retreats even to the $1^{\text {st }}$ row！ On the $5^{\text {th }}$ move，he returns to the e2－square，covering the dotreat options and 2 echo model mates follow．A fivemover with a surprising $1^{\text {st }}$ move and a battle between the kings．






At the end，again a problem from the FIDE Abum 1914－44（№ 10）．A remarkable composition with quiet white moves，Bristol theme，model mates and unstalemating of Black．After 1．．．g5，the真 makes a way for his 筧，then the releases the from stalemate after 4．．．g2 and gives a mate




## BOOK REVIEW：ENDGAME LABYRINTHS（2023），BY STEFFEN NIELSEN \＆JACOB AAGAARD

We are glad to be able to introduce a joint book by two Danish composers and chess players： －Steffen Slumstrup Nielsen，the spokesman of the WFCC Subcommittee on Studies，the editor of the quarterly $E G$ ，GM for chess compositions and the current world champion in composing studies 2019－2021，who－according to the GM Yochanan Afek who wrote the book＇s foreword－＂seeks tense dramas that are rich with tactics，with hanging pieces and spectacular moves＂，and，by his studies（some of which are initially inspired by over－the－ board competitive practices）and involvement on chess social media and in the Danish chess community，he is promoting endgame studies among the general chess public＂．
Jacob Aagaard is an over－the－board GM，a prominent chess writer，trainer and occasional composer of practical endgame studies who－according to Y．Afek－＂long ago recognized the various exceptional qualities of studies as a training tool：empowering tactical and calculating skills，improving creativity，out－of－the box thinking and pattern recognition＂．

The book contains no less than 1002 studies，divided into chapters by material．Pure rook endgames prominently feature，ahead of other（pawn，knight，bishop，queen）endgames，and the remaining chapters are as follows：Pieces vs pawns；Minor pieces，Rook vs a minor piece；Rook vs two minor pieces；Rook vs rook and minor piece；Rook and minor piece vs rook and minor piece； Piece fight；Active defence；Short and sweet；Playing studies；Just tactics；Solve like a grandmaster， In the wilderness；Fresh out of the oven；Fortresses；Endgame theory；Classics；and Braincrushers．

The book aims to reflect specific difficult choices a player faces at the board．The positions to solve are divided into pages of 6 ，with each set consisting of two easy，two medium and two difficult challenges，whose solving would require a reader to employ his／her own imagination． The book does not include trivial studies with easy solutions，technical endgames without surprising moves，middlegame positions，＂romantic＂studies（whose positions and play are far away from chess games），muddy positions（with many sidelines）etc．

Apart from practical chess usefulness，the authors assure us that this book is＂a boundless treasure of beauty，surprise and paradox in chess＂．Steffen acknowledges the importance that the ＂consistent persistence，publishing and writing knowledge as well as perhaps even superior chess skills＂of Jacob had played in producing the book

In the book review we reproduce studies from the＂Rook vs minor piece＂chapter，including one of Steffen＇s 16 original studies（which are not examples of his typical wild composing style）．


$1 . .$.
$[2 . . . \operatorname{def} 73$ 3．ef4＋（3． Eg 4$)=]$






 5． $\mathrm{m}: \mathrm{d} 4=]$ 5．总 $\mathrm{g} 8+!$ 㫧：$: \mathrm{g} 8=$ Stalemate

2．Steffen Nielsen
Original 2020，published in
Endgame Labyrinths 2023


1．b5 d4［1．．．a3 2．．．f $2+-$ ；
1．．．中：f5 2．b6＋－7 2．${ }^{\text {en }} \mathbf{f 2}$［2．b6？ 2．．．定g2＝］2．．．定e6 3．．ef5！a3 4．b6 a2 5．b7！［5． $\mathrm{Eb} 5+$ ？dic3





 13．쁭 $b 5+$ dita3 14．．ㅃ⽊ㄹ $6+$


3．Martin Minski Magyar Sakkvilág 2013


2．．．．曾 cl （2．．．b5 e．g．3．c4＋－）

2．．．亘：a5 3．b5＋！！［3．b：a5？

4．a：b5＋لab5 $5 . \mathrm{c} 5+-$－
4．c5 圕a6［4．．．b：c5 5．b6＋
5．．．©a6 6．b7＋－］5．a5！
［5．b：a6？b：c5＝＋］
5．．．b：a5 6．b6＋＋－
Domination

The book can be ordered directly from the publisher＂Quality Chess＂at the following e－link： https：／／www．qualitychess．co．uk／products／2／443／endgame labyrinths hardcover by jacob aagaard an d steffen＿nielsen／（an interactive e－book：https：／／forwardchess．com／product／endgame－labyrinths）．

SELECTED AWARDS by Zoran Gavrilovski
DIE SCHWALBE 2021／\＃2，informal tourney， 54 problems： $7 \mathrm{Pr}, 6 \mathrm{HM}, 8$ C．Judge：Juraj Brabec



1．c4？d：c4／d4！2．鼻：c4\＃ $\mathbf{A}$ ？
1．f：e4？d：e4／类h1！2．賭c4\＃ $\mathbf{A}$ ？
 1．00：e4？d：e4／宣：c2！2．2．e5\＃B／？


## 


Triple change of two mates and triple transfer of three mates．


1．ㄹ．c7！（2．씅：c5\＃A）
1．．．卢e $5 \times 2$ ．当： $\mathrm{e} 4 \#$ B
1． 2 f4！（2．苞： $\mathrm{e} 4 \#$ B）


1．．．
A well－known mix of cyclic le Grand and Ellerman－Mäkihovi．



 1． 4 f7？：g5／ c 5 ！ $2.0: \mathrm{g} 5 \#$ ？ 1．乌f5？是g3＋／定：b8！ 2.0 y ： $\mathrm{g} 3 \#$ \＃？





DIE SCHWALBE 2021／\＃3，informal ty．， 23 problems： 3 Pr， 3 HM， 4 C．Judge：Gerhard Schoen

## $1^{\text {st }}$ Pr．P．Sickinger，F．Reinhold



1．息 $f$ ？？ $\mathbf{A}(2 . e 4 \# \mathbf{C})$ 䒼h $h$ ！
 1．를．（2． 1．．．単f8 2．鼻f2 A（3．e4\＃C）
2．．．虽：e5 3． 4 b 6 \＃D

2．．． ㅇd4 3．e4\＃C
1．．．定c3 2．e4＋did4 3．鼻f2\＃
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Pr．Zoran Gavrilovski


1．c6？A（2．禺 $\mathrm{c} 5 \# \mathbf{C}) \begin{array}{r}12+11 \\ 1\end{array}$




1．．． $\mathrm{H}: 4 \mathrm{x} 2 . \mathrm{e} 7$ B（3． $\mathrm{S} \mathrm{e} 6 \# \mathrm{D})$
2．．． 4 ：c5／4：d23．寊：c5\＃C／欮：d3\＃
Banny and pseudo le Grand．
$3^{\text {rd }}$ Pr．Valery Shavyrin


1．घe8？A（2．当 $\mathrm{e} 6 \#) 44$ ！
1．b5？B（2．鸴 $\mathrm{d} 7 \#$ ）囬 g 7 ！
1． ．e5！（2．e5＋f：e5 3．d：e5\＃）

2．．．皆c6 3．営 $\mathrm{d} 5 \# \mathrm{D}$




PHÉNIX 2021 ／\＃4－n，informal tourney， 21 problems： 1 Pr， 7 HM， 6 C．Judge：Grigory Popov
$1^{\text {st }}$ Pr．Bernard Courthiau $\quad 1^{\text {st }}$ H．M．Zoran Gavrilovski


1．鼻h4？（2．g6～3．鬼e7 \＆\＃5）
 1．．．h：g5！






7． 0 d 7 \＃B
 7． Qe $^{2}$ \＃


1．宸b5！（2．쓸 $4 \sim 3.9 \mathrm{c} 5 \#$ ）

2．．．．定：d6 3．e：d6\＃（4． $\mathbf{\Delta} \mathrm{c} 5 \#)$
3．．．． 4 ： $44+4$ ． ． ： $14 \#$


3．Ge4＋dib：e4／尚e6
4．嶙：e5\＃ff 5
1．．． $1 \mathrm{~g} 32.9 \mathrm{f} 2+$ de：f4＋

1．．． $4 \mathrm{f6} 2 . \mathrm{mb} 4 \sim 3$ ．啠d3＋
3．．．． 安：d3 4．e：d3\＃


1．${ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{c} 3+$ ！！dib4 2． ． 66 ！ f 2 3． Mc cl




 14．．f：f1 dob4 15．

17．告b6 h1 宸 18．． m 1

20．

The Problemist 2021／\＃4－n，informal tourney， 46 problems：4Pr， $5 \mathrm{HM}, 4 \mathrm{C}$ ．Judge：Eddy van Beers
$1^{\text {st }}$ Pr．Valery Kirillov \＆

## Igor Yarmonov



1．亘e2！（2．賭：c4＋d：c4

1．．．当h4 $2.0 \mathrm{cc}+\mathrm{c}$－ c 3


3．重 $44+$ 宣：f4 4．
$3^{\text {rd }}$ Pr．Zoran Gavrilovski


1． 25 ！（2 $9 \mathrm{~b} 5+$ be5＋ 3． थ $^{2} 4+$ ded6 4．




1．．．b：a6 2．


DIE SCHWALBE 2018／s\＃4－N，informal tourney， 27 problems： 4 Pr，4HM， 8 C．Judge：Dieter Werner


S\＃9


9＋13













 moves with dual avoidance，change of continuations and of functions of moves（ $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \& \mathbf{z}$ ）．
The ProbLemist 2019／H\＃2，informal tourney， 48 problems： 4 Pr， 7 HM， 7 C．Judge：Paz Einat
$1^{\text {st }}$ Pr．Vitaly Medintsev \＆$\quad 2^{\text {nd }} \mathbf{P r}$ ．Michal Dragoun \＆
Vladislav Nefyodov






Ladislav Salai jr．


1． e 6 ！쓸d8 2． d 4 쓸：d4\＃


$1^{\text {st }}$ Comm．Živko Janevski


The Problemist 2019／H\＃3½－N，informal ty， 42 problems： $2 \mathrm{Pr}, 4 \mathrm{HM}, 4 \mathrm{C}$ ．Judge：Viktoras Paliulionis
$1^{\text {st }}$ Pr．Zlatko Mihajloski

|  |  | 令 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | 8 |
|  | ¢ |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | 宣 |  |
| w／ | \％ | 衆 |
| H\＃5 | 2 solutions | $4+15$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 4．．．崽［f］a2 5．首c4 息b1\＃ |  |  |

$2^{\text {nd }} \mathbf{C o m m}$ ．Zlatko Mihajloski


1．．．置a12．堂 $\mathrm{h} 7++$ 果 g 8



＂藚 tempo move，葸／定 moves to corners，bicolour Bristol magnet．This is a Letzform of these familiar ideas．A lovely miniature．＂（Judge）

4．．．莫［b］a2 5．安c3 寞b1\＃
＂This helpmate is a true record－ holder－it shows $5 \times$ Klasinc．， （Judge）

$\mathrm{H} \# 5 \quad 2+13$
．
5．定d7 ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~d} 4 \#$
Themes：＂bQ critical hideaway， line openings and switchbacks （ $2 \times$ Klasinc theme），mixed Indian，temporal closing of the b－file from both ends，Cheney Loyd theme．＂（Judge）．
$1^{\text {st }}$ H．M．Zlatko Mihajloski
ormal ty， 45 problems： 3 Pr， 5
$3^{\text {rd }}$ Pr．Zlatko Mihajloski

1．．



＂The constellation of actors is not new＂（Judges）．Exchanged roles of 邕 and 曽：sacrificed rear battery piece \＆vice versa




＂Mate on f 4 is only possible if the 在 reaches h4．To do this， the 寞 completes a roundtrip in five moves，not over d8－a5， but over b4．＂（Judges）
$4^{\text {th }}$ H．M．Petre Stojoski $(\dagger)$


1．客el 事g12．安f5 崽e4
 5．定d3 宦 $\mathrm{a} 2 \#$

Switchbacks by three pieces， two of which perform Klasinc theme（果－寞 and $\boldsymbol{\phi}$－㘳）and one shows bicolour delayed Klasinc after a critical move．

LET＇S NOT FORGET．．．Chess composers who with their works have left（or are leaving）their mark on one or more genres

## 200 years

| 200 years |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mangelsdorf，Richard $\quad(\mathbf{1 8 2 3 . 0 6 . 0 9}-1894.01 .23)$ | Karl Lorenz Jesper Jespersen（1848），a |
| Danish composer of problems and studies |  | 175 years

Jespersen，Karl L．Jesper（ $\mathbf{1 8 4 8 . 0 8 . 0 1}$－1914．08．07） Lindquist，Carl Emil（1848．08．27－1925．01．21）

Bolton，Horatio 150 years
（1793．06．02－1873．08．15）
Penížka，Bohumil
$(\mathbf{1 8 7 3 . 0 8 . 2 0}-1939.03 .11)$ 125 years
Collins，Charles Francis（1843．02．19－1898．07．29）
Letzen，Larsson Einar（1898．05．26－1973．05．17）
Neishtadt，Vladimir $\quad(\mathbf{1 8 9 8 . 0 7 . 1 6}-1959.04 .16)$
Penrose，Lionel Sharples（1898．06．11－1972．05．12）
Vuković，Vladimir（1898．08．26－1975．11．18）
100 years
Abbott，Joseph William（1840．02．05－1923．08．05） Bišćan，Dragutin

1923．08．24－2004．12．14）
Bouma，Gerard Johan
Diot，Roger
（1923．05．10－2017．11．27） （1923．05．07－1981．02．28）
Frič，Ivan
Pfeiffer，Gerhard
Van Gool，Johan C
Zagoruiko，Leonid
（1889．02．15－1923．07．31）
（1923．06．14－2000．06．27）
（1923．06．15－2002．08．23）
（1923．08．14－1999．05．22）
75 years
Antonov，Yury Mikhailovich（1948．05．17）
Dehler，Otto Georg Edgar（1887．02．10－1948．05．20）
Haralović，Mato
（1948．07．08）
Leick，Wilhelm
Makaronez，Leonid
Marker，Yury
Mosiashvili，Givi
（1871．10．09
1948．07．09）
－
50 years
Crişan，Vlaicu
Gavrilović，Časlav
（1905．11．02－1973．08．30）
（1894．06．27－1973．07．15）
25 years
（1921．09．26－1998．05．15） （1923．03．15－1998．07．27） Danish composer of problems and studies
$(3,470$ from 1879 ，of which more than 70 won prizes）and of articles in Skakbladet and Tidskrift för Schack．Jespersen was a chaplain and then a parish priest．
Joseph William Abbott，an English \＃2－ 3 expert，who authored the book 121 chess problems：J．W．Abbott（1887）．He died in 1923.

Leonid Zagoruiko，a Belarus composer （1923），IM（1961）with 40.33 FA pts and IJ（1956）．From 1937 he composed cca． 250 orthodox problems（mainly \＃3s），of which $c c a .60$ won $1^{\text {st }}$ prize．Zagoruiko is credited with the eponymous theme，although he did not make the first problem with 2－n changed mates／continuations in 3－n phases．

Tivadar Kardos was a Hungarian expert for $\mathrm{h} \# \mathrm{~s}$ and $\mathrm{s} \# \mathrm{~s}$ ，FM（1990）with 23．83 FA pts and IJ（1979）．Kardos and István Rágó published Feladványkedvelök Lapia（Paper of the Friends of Chess Problems）from 1969 to 1976．He co－authored books with G．Authier and R．Diot．He died in 1998.
Givi Mosiashvili（1948），a Georgian \＃2－ expert who composed $\# 2 s$ with rich content and published $c c a .600 \# 2 \mathrm{~s}$ ，of which $c c a$ ． 200 \＃2s were honoured，IM（2016）with 39 FA pts and IJ for \＃2s（2016）．
Vlaicu Crişan（1973），a Romanian fairy expert who with Eric Huber at the WCCCs organised and judged the Tzuica tourney for helpselfmates．He is IM（2016）with 53 FA pts（with successes in the Olympic and other
Kardos，Tivadar Menkis，Herman
 FM－FIDE Master for CC；IJ－International Judge of the FIDE；FA pts－FIDE album points


## 1．党：： 5 ！（zugzwang）


$1 \ldots$ 定： d 4 ，定～2．

1．．．迫：d4 2．曽e4\＃

Excellent \＃2 with creation of a white half－battery and three self－pins by capturing the half－ pinned pieces

## IV）Leonid Zagoruiko

 $1^{\text {stt}}-2^{\text {nd }} \operatorname{Pr}$ ．e．a．FIDE tourney 1959




 3．宽d5\＃／党ge3\＃

Prepared by Nikola Stolev


1．聯 f3！（zugzwang）
1．．．${ }^{\text {dab b }} 5$ ，c6，c5 2． $9 \mathrm{~d} 6 \#$
1．．．象c5，曾～2．
1．．．定c5 2． M $_{4}$ ： 2 2\＃

1．．．g：f3，g3 2．© ：e3\＃
Unusual setting with play by
the black king on mutually adjacent squares after a key by the out－of－play queen．

V）Tivadar Kardos
${ }^{\text {st }}$ Pr．Budapest Sport


1．f1嘗 e3 2 嘗f4e．f4 3 e3 f5
 7．宣 a 2 黒f3\＃
A quite rare example of three promotions to rooks in a setting with only 6 pieces

III）Givi Mosiashvili $1^{\text {st }}$ Pr．StrateGems 2005




1．欮 44 ？（2．邑 $\mathrm{e} 8 \#$ ） d 5 ！
1．．． $\boldsymbol{\text { i }}: \mathrm{d} 7$ 2．M M M ： $\mathrm{d} 7 \#$
1．．．兠：e7 2．．를g\＃

1．．．定：d7 2．．m m m b\＃A

1．．．当g8＋，当g7＋2．䈓（：）g7\＃
Zagoruiko and Le Grand themes．
VI）Vlaicu Crişan
$2^{\text {nd }} \operatorname{Pr} . P C C C-50$ Anniversary


HS\＃4 2 solutions $\quad 8+6$
 3．宽h3 堂e3d4．崽d6＋定：c4\＃


Battery creation，critical play， unpinning and Grimshaw．


[^0]:    The Macedonian Problemist № 71 （May－August 2023）

[^1]:    The Macedonian Problemist № 71 （May－August 2023）

